# **ENGLISH LANGUAGE CONTEST 2018 (FORMS 9-10)**

This is a short syllabus for the English Language Contest 2018 for forms 9–10. Here you will find:

- General overview of the structure of the contest;
- Task specifications and sample tasks;
- Assessment criteria;
- Links to resources for teachers and students.

### **GENERAL OVERVIEW**

	FORMALLY assessed tasks / individual and pair work				
TASK	TASK FORMAT	TASK FOCUS	SCORE	TIME	
ONE	A situationally-based writing task: an article. Minimum 250 words. Maximum 300 words. Input material: a short video presentation (about 10 min.) viewed twice before writing.	Skills: - summarising - expressing opinion - evaluating	15	Viewing time: about 20 minutes  Writing time: 60 minutes  TOTAL TIME: up to 80 minutes	
TWO	An individual long turn:  A talk based on a quote.  Input: a quote by a famous person in Lithuanian.	Skills:  - interpreting a statement  - mediating (from LT to EN)  - expressing and justifyting opinion  - agreeing / disagreeing  - sharing experience, thoughts and emotions	15	Preparation time: 2-3 minutes  Speaking time: 1-2 minutes  TOTAL TIME: up to 5 minutes per one student	
THREE	A two-way collaborative task: <b>A pair discussion</b> .  Input: a news item with an illustration.	Skills: - summarizing; giving the gist of a short text - turn-taking (initiating, responding, interacting, cooperating) - expressing opinion and emotions - summarizing and concluding the discussion	15	Preparation time: 2 minutes  Speaking time: 3 minutes  TOTAL TIME: 5 minutes per two students	
	1	TOTAL	45	Total time depends on the number of students	

	RECOMMENDED NON-FORMALLY assessed tasks / team work					
TASK	TASK FORMAT	TASK FOCUS		TIME		ASSESSMENT CRITERIA
ONE	Treasure Hunt and Presentation	Skills: - information management - oral presentation skills - collaboration - creativity	-	up to 90 minutes for the hunt up to 3 minutes per team for the presentation	-	Time spent on task Number of items collected Team presentation
TWO	Knowledge Quiz	Skills: - collaboration - sharing information	-	30–60 minutes	-	Team score

#### TASK SPECIFICATIONS AND TASK SAMPLES

#### **TASK ONE: AN ARTICLE**

Skills focus: Summarising; expressing opinion; evaluating.

Writing situation: Students are writing for an English website.

Target audience: Peer students and their English teachers; general public.

Length of text: Minimum 250 words. Maximum 300 words.

Input material: A short video presentation (about 10 minutes).

Viewing time: Approximately 20 minutes. The video presentation is viewed twice.

Writing time: 60 minutes

Score: 15 points

Assessment Content; organization (coherence, cohesion); language resources; accuracy;

criteria: appropriacy of register.

Video sample: <a href="https://www.ted.com/talks/wendy troxel">https://www.ted.com/talks/wendy troxel why school should start later for teens</a>

### Sample instructions for students:

You are going to write an article for an English website.

Before writing, you are going to watch a short video presentation. The video will be shown twice.

You can take notes if you wish. Use this sheet for your notes.

After viewing the video presentation, you will have 60 minutes to write your article.

### You must follow this plan:

- give a short summary of the content of the talk;
- formulate the main message;
- respond to the message of the talk (your opinion / emotions / knowledge / experience in relation to the topic discussed);
- comment on the speaker's presentation skills (manner of speaking, visuals etc.).

Use the sheets provided for your draft and your final version.

Write at least 250 words, but no more than 300 words.

Give a title to your article.

YOUR NOTES (a separate sheet provided)

YOUR DRAFT (a separate sheet provided)

YOUR FINAL VERSION (a separate sheet provided)

TITLE:

#### TASK TWO: INDIVIDUAL TALK

Skills focus: Rendering the meaning of a quote from Lithuanian into English (mediating);

Explaining / Interpreting the quote;

Expressing and justifying opinion; commenting; agreeing / disagreeing;

Sharing thoughts / emotions / knowledge / experience.

Input material: A quote in Lithuanian. Written prompts / visuals may be provided.

Output expected: Interpretation of the quote and response to its content.

# Sample instructions for students:

You have just read a quote of a famous sperson. Your task is:

- to explain / interpret the meaning of the quote;
- to give your opinion on the idea(s) expressed in the quote (agree / disagree);
- to share your own thoughts / emotions / knowledge / experience related to the topic.

Preparation time 2-3 minutes. You can make notes if you wish in the space below.


You will have 1-2 minutes to give your talk.

### Sample quotes:



Iš bičiulio viskas priimama – tiek pagyrimai, tiek priekaištai.

(Mikalojus Konstantinas Čiurlionis)



Maža garbė svetimom kalbom kalbėti, didi gėda savos gerai nemokėti.
(Jonas Jablonskis)

#### **TASK THREE: PAIR DISCUSSION**

Skills focus: Summarising the news item; giving the gist of the text;

Turn-taking (initiating, responding, interacting, cooperating);

Expressing and justifying opinion;

Summarising and concluding the discussion.

Input material: A news item with a picture.

Topics: Current news.

Output expected: Personal response to the issue and discussion with a partner.

### Sample instructions for students:

You are going to read a news item. You have to respond to the news and discuss it with a partner.

Your partner has also read the same news item.

You have **2** minutes to read the text and prepare for the discussion.

The discussion should take up to 3 minutes.

You are expected to:

- give a short summary of the text (Student A);
- exchange opinions with the partner about the news;
- comment on the events / people describe in the text;
- relate the news item to your own experience or knowledge;
- summarise and conclude your discussion (Student B).

Note: if you start the discussion, your partner will have to complete the discussion and vice versa.

## Sample news item:



#### Teens serve water to homeless in summer

Eighty-nine degrees in the shade, as the Water Wagon rolled down Broadway to offer cold water to its thirsty homeless. Six young people manned the homemade, big red wagon and its giant aluminum pot filled with cold water. They were taking water to the streets as part of the Win Our World (WOW) campaign.

WOW is a youth summer action operated out of St. John's Lutheran Church. For seven summer weeks, WOW hosts young people to work on community projects. Each week young people load a huge pot, two ladles and three sleeves of plastic cups into a red metal wagon. They fill the pot about three-fourth full of cold water and ice. No one's sure how many gallons the 18-inch-tall pot can hold, but it looks big enough to feed a congregation.

Every summer day the Water Wagon rolls out of the church. Today, 17-year-old Matthew pulls the wagon. Trailing are Ryan, 12, and Jules, 13, Chloe, 16, and her sister Ella, 12, and crew leader Will, 17. Before the wagon rolled out of the St. John's parking lot, the ice had melted. Matthew stopped as the wagon hit Broadway so that everyone else could ladle water into cups. As Matthew pulled the wagon, his fellow water warriors gave cups of water to people on the streets. "Would you like a cup of water?" was the question often answered with a "Yes, thank you." Other people took the cups with a "God bless you".

The Water Wagon is one of the projects WOW does each summer. The program works on three principles - to help its young people in their faith formation, to offer them service opportunities and to develop leaders.

https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/good-news/teens-serve-water-to-homeless-in-summer

#### Instructions for assessors:

Student A and Student B have the same news item.

Student A starts the discussion by stating the topic / issue / main idea.

Student B completes the discussion by summarising it and drawing conclusions.

# ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND NOTES FOR ASSESSORS

	ARTICLE ASSESSMENT SCALE				
CRITERIA	SCORE	DESCRIPTORS			
Content	3	All content points covered. Thorough and extensive coverage.			
	2	Major content points covered. Adequate and sufficient coverage.			
	1	Too few content points covered. Insufficient coverage. Some irrelevant material.			
Organisation (coherence	3	Effective organisation; excellent coherence and cohesion; proper layout.			
and cohesion;	2	Adequate organization; good coherence and cohesion; problems with layout.			
layout)	1	Lack of organization; some coherence and cohesion; problems with layout.			
Language resources	3	Wide range of vocabulary and structure.			
(range of vocabulary	2	Adequate range of vocabulary and structure.			
and structure)	1	Limited range of vocabulary and structure.			
Accuracy (vocabulary,	3	No errors / minimal errors.			
grammar,	2	A number of errors, but they do not obscure communication.			
punctuation)	1	Frequent errors, some of which may obscure communication.			
Appropriacy of register	3	Consistent use of neutral / semi-formal register.			
	2	Inconsistent use of neutral / semi-formal register.			
	1	Little awareness of register.			
Total	15				

# **NOTES**

- **Length** between 250 300 words. If the text is shorter or longer, the final score is adjusted as agreed by the evaluation committee.
- **Spelling** British and American varieties are acceptable.
- Contractions are acceptable.

	INDIVIDUAL TALK based on a quote				
	ASSESSMENT SCALE				
SCOI	SCORE DESCRIPTORS				
Expl	anation	/ Interpretation of the quote			
2	Effectiv	ve explanation / interpretation of the meaning of the quote.			
1		ate explanation / interpretation of the meaning of the quote.			
Opin	Opinion, comment (relevance, coherence)				
3	All idea	as relevant and coherent; easy to follow.			
2	Most i	deas relevant and coherent; quite easy to follow.			
1	Some i	rrelevance or incoherence may be noticed.			
Lang	uage re	sources (lexico-grammatical structures)			
3	Wide r	ange of language resources; always used clearly and precisely to convey the content.			
2	Good r	ange of language resources; most often used clearly and precisely to convey the content.			
1	Adequ	ate range of language resources; lack of clarity and precision may be noticed.			
Flue	ncy				
2	Mainta	Maintains a smooth flow of language with natural hesitation.			
1	Mainta	ins a flow of language; hesitation occurs when searching for language resources.			
Accu	Accuracy				
3	3 Excellent control of simple and complex lexico-grammatical structures; no errors / a few minor errors in complex structures; meaning is never obscured.				
2	Good control of simple and complex lexico-grammatical structures; occassional errors in complex structures; meaning is never obscured.				
1	Adequate handling of simple lexico-grammatical structures; problems may occur with both simple and complex structures; meaning may be obscured.				
Pron	Pronunciation				
2	2 Clear articulation. Effective intonation.				
1	1 Most text is articulated clearly. Adequate intonation.				
Tota	Total Assessor's signature and comment.				
(out of 15)					

	PAIR DISCUSSION				
	ASSESSMENT SCALE				
CRIT	CRITERIA SCORE DESCRIPTORS		DESCRIPTORS		
CON	TENT				
Opir	Opinion, comment (Relevance, coherence, fluency)				
3	All ideas relevant; coherent; easy to follow.				
2	Most ideas relevant; quite coherent; rather easy to follow.				
1	Too few ideas; lack of coherence; may be difficult to follow.				
Lang	Language resources (Range, clarity, precision)				
4	Wide rar	nge of lexico	-grammatical structures; the meaning is always clear and precise.		
3	Good range of lexico-grammatical structures; the meaning is always clear and precise.				
2	Adequat	e range of le	exico-grammatical structures; the meaning is generally clear and precise.		
1	Limited i	range of lexi	co-grammatical structures; the meaning is often not clear or precise.		
DELI	VERY				
Inte	Interaction, cooperation				
2	Effective use of strategies to initiate discussion and respond to questions; good cooperation with the interlocutor.				
1	Adequate use of strategies to initiate discussion and respond to questions; adequate cooperation with the interlocutor.				
Accı	Accuracy				
4	Excellent control of simple and complex language structures; no accuracy errors.				
3	Good control of simple and complex language structures; occassional errors.				
2	Adequate handling of simple language structures; problems may occur with complex structures.				
1	Poor handling of language structures; problems occur with both complex and simple structures.				
Pror	Pronunciation				
2	Clear articulation. Effective intonation.				
1	1 Most text is articulated clearly. Adequate intonation.				
Tota	Total 15				

# **RESOURCES**

English Profile provides detailed information about what learners 'can do' in English at each of the six levels of the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR).	http://www.englishprofile.org/
This document consists of a core curriculum inventory for teaching English. It is based in part on the CEFR and is constructed around key language points for each level, including grammar, vocabulary, discourse markers and functions.	http://englishagenda.britishcouncil.org/sites/ec/files/books-british-council-eaquals-core-inventory.pdf
Sources of talks / video presentations	https://www.ted.com/
Sources of news items	http://www.theguardian.com/international
	http://www.independent.co.uk/
	http://www.telegraph.co.uk/
	https://www.thetimes.co.uk/?region=global
	http://www.bbc.com
	https://www.msn.com/en-us
Sources of quizzes	http://www.quizfactor.com/
Information on "Scavenger Hunt" / "Treasure Hunt"	http://www.quickhunts.com
More information about the English	http://www.lmnsc.lt/lt/olimpiadu_grafikas
Language Contest 2018	http://www.lmnsc.lt/lt/anglu_kalbos_konkursas